

# **Pinedale Anticline Project Office (PAPO) 2013 Project Application Ranking Score Sheet**

**Project Name:**

**Date:**

**Project Type/Resource Mitigated:**

**Proponent:**

**Score:**

## **PAPO STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS (Up to 50 points)**

(10 pts) Goal 1-Wildlife

Actively pursue projects to benefit wildlife on a landscape scale within the Upper Green River Basin with a focus on those populations impacted by development on the PAPA.

(10 pts) Goal 2-Wildlife

Evaluate and respond to population trends to benefit wildlife species impacted by development on the PAPA.

(10 pts) Goal 3-Wildlife

Develop and utilize a measurement system for evaluation on and off-site habitat suitability/effectiveness and function for key species impacted on the PAPA with habitat suitability/effectiveness as the baseline for the effort.

(10 pts) Goal 4-Air Quality

Participate in activities and public outreach helping safeguard a healthy environment as energy production in the PAPA continues.

(10 pts) Goal 5-Cultural

Protect and preserve significant cultural resources and reduce or eliminate threats to eligible and unevaluated sites from natural or human-caused impacts.

## **CURRENT (2013) PAPO PRIORITIES (Up to 55 points)**

(25 pts) Mitigate impacts to current high priority issues (i.e., mule deer, sage-grouse, air quality)

(15 pts) Mitigate impacts to Matrix-listed wildlife species not high priority (i.e., white-tailed prairie dog, pronghorn and pygmy rabbit)

(15 pts) Research project(s) that provides data to improve mitigation and monitoring efforts

**FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS PARTNERS/MATCHING FUNDS (Up to 20 points)**

Partners are committed to:

(20 pts) Providing 80% or more of the necessary funding (monetary or in-kind) that will help leverage PAPO dollars

(15 pts) Providing 60-79% of the necessary funding (monetary or in-kind) that will help leverage PAPO dollars

(10 pts) Providing 40-59% of the necessary funding (monetary or in-kind) that will help leverage PAPO dollars

(5 pts) Providing 20-39% of the necessary funding (monetary or in-kind) that will help leverage PAPO dollars

**GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATIONS (Up to 20 points)**

Project occurs:

(20 pts) Primarily within the PAPA boundary

(10 pts) Primarily within mitigation areas outside the PAPA boundary

(5 pts) In the Upper Green River Valley

**FOR WILDLIFE/AQUATIC RESOURCE PROJECTS ONLY (Up to 80 points- please note that submitted Wildlife/Aquatic projects will be ranked separately from submitted Air Quality projects)**

Project addresses:

(15 pts) Mitigates impacts to mule deer that winter within the PAPA boundary

(15 pts) Improves sage-grouse habitat in an identified sage-grouse Core Area and meets Core Area guidelines and limitations

(10 pts) Mitigates impacts to non-Matrix species (e.g., raptors)

(10 pts) Mitigates impacts to rangeland health or water quality

(10 pts) Includes a grazing management plan designed to improve habitat conditions for impacted species and improve grazing efficiencies

(10 pts) Promotes rangeland health and PAPO goals and objectives by alleviating wildlife movement barriers and facilitating access to existing forage and/or water for both wildlife and livestock

**Select only one of the following: (the second item is on the next page)**

(10 pts) Addresses a habitat type or seasonal range found in or near the PAPA boundary important for species impacted by development

(5 pts) Addresses off-site habitat type or seasonal range not found in the PAPA boundary important for species impacted by development

**FOR AIR QUALITY PROJECTS ONLY (Up to 60 points – please note that submitted Air Quality projects will be ranked separately from submitted Wildlife/Aquatic Resource projects)**

Project addresses:

(20 pts) Ozone reduction through monitoring, research or emissions control

(20 pts) Visibility impairing pollutants through monitoring, research, or emissions control

(20 pts) NOX or VOC reduction through monitoring, research, or emissions control

**Note to Applicants:** This ranking criteria and score sheet are intended as an initial screening for the PAPO when evaluating applications and the results or final recommendations may not correlate to project ranking by points. Applicants should be aware that not all project applications will be recommended to the PAPO Board of Directors, however, you are encouraged to contact the Project Coordinator or a member of the team to discuss the merits of your proposal before you submit a formal application.

**If you have any questions, please contact Eric Decker PAPO Project Coordinator at (307) 367-5386 or by email at: [egdecker@blm.gov](mailto:egdecker@blm.gov)**