AQUATIC BIOTA MONITORING

Aquatic Task Group

BASIC QUESTION

What effect does (or will) CBNG produced water have on aquatic biota and their habitats?

2

Objectives

Establish <u>baseline</u> conditions for aquatic biota and their habitat
 Evaluate existing or potential effects of

CBNG water discharge on aquatic life

Sub-Task Monitoring Plans

- Aquatic/Riparian Habitat
- Fish
- Macroinvertebrates
- Amphibians (Herps)
- Research



Watersheds to be monitored

Data on current biotic conditions will be benchmark against which subsequent monitoring data will be compared to assess CBNG effects.

Rosebud Creek (MT): 3 sites
Tongue River (MT & WY): 18 MT/3WY
Powder River (MT & WY): 6MT/8WY
Belle Fourche River (Wyoming): 8 sites
Cheyenne River (Wyoming): 8 sites



Stream segment sampling Reach

- <u>2 Meander lengths</u>; 20 bank full channel widths; or 500 meters --- whichever is greater.
- *Larger streams (Tongue or Powder): length may change to capture all habitat types (esp. for fish).



Habitat Monitoring Plan

Aquatic life habitat is an essential part of aquatic community assemblages and life histories.

The condition and type of habitat can define species diversity, growth rates, and abundance.

Habitat Monitoring

Each site once per year for 3 years

Current type of aquatic habitat available
Assess changes over time
Determine if changes are due to CBNG
Develop mitigation measures

Fish Monitoring Plan

00000

888

Determine native and introduced species composition and distribution (temporally and spatially) relative to their available habitat

Fish Monitoring

3 times per site per year (Pre and post runoff and late season)
Repeat annually for 3 years to establish baseline condition
Protocols still being evaluated (MT, WY, EMAP, NAWQA)



Macroinvertebrate (bugs) Monitoring Plan

Use measures of macroinvertebrate community composition and tolerance to assess impacts of CBNG development on aquatic life

Macroinvertebrate Monitoring

Once each year per site during summer/fall low water period

Semi-qualitative sample (relative abundance within fast flowing habitat

Qualitative multi-habitat sample

Species ID and quantification to be done through existing BLM contract



Amphibians and Aquatic Dependent Reptiles (Herps) Monitoring Plan

Recommended for development - See research proposal

Research Proposals

- A. Literature review and study plan development to assess effects of CBNG activities on fish assemblages.
- B. Development of a prairie fish index of biotic integrity for streams in WY and MT.
- c. Impacts to amphibians and reptiles in relation to effects from CBNG production.



McDowell-ATG

Estimated Costs

Based on hiring additional GS-level personnel w/in the BLM (2 field crews of 3 seasonal techs and 1 FTE fishery biologist)

Monitoring

Per station: \$2,895

Total: \$217,530 annually (\$108,765 per state)

<u>Research</u>

\$433,400 (one-time cost)

Limitations and unresolved issues

No sampling sites to specifically monitor aquatic biota or habitat within CBNG discharge. Proposed sampling is to establish baseline.

Other issues for IWG to consider

Sentinel fish monitoring Periphyton Ephemeral and intermittent stream channels Below, within, and above CBM discharge point monitoring (after baseline?) Database to link all groups information Standing water effects on biota – esp. Herps Literature search and compilation for all TGs

and the state of the

How much deeper do we look to find answers?

The ATG Team:

Bob McDowell Joe Platz Jeremy Zumberge Larry Gerard George Jordon **Steve Regele** Dave Zafft **Brad Schmitz** Paula Guenther-Gloss **Brad Rogers** Jerry Kaiser