AQUATIC TASK GROUP MEETING FEBRUARY 1-2, 2005 BILLINGS, MONTANA

PRESENT:

| NAME | AFFILIATION | PHONE | E-MAIL |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Bob McDowell | WGFD – Buffalo | 307-620-0736 | bob.mcdowell@wgf.state.wy.us |
| Dave Zafft | WGFD – Laramie | 307-745-5180 ext. 235 | davidzafft@wgf.state.wy.us |
| Joe Platz | BLM – Miles City | 406-253-2867 | jplatz@blm.gov |
| George R. Jordan | USFWS – Billings | 406-247-7365 | george_jordan@fws.gov |
| Steve Regele | MDEQ – Billings | 406-247-4433 | sregele@mt.gov |
| Larry Goyn | WDEQ – Cheyenne | 307-777-6353 | lgoyn@state.wy.us |
| Jeremy Zumberge | WDEQ – Sheridan | 307-673-9337 | jzumbe@state.wy.us |
| Dave Peterson | USGS – WY | 307-778-2931 | davep@usgs.gov |
| Tina Laidlaw | USEPA – Helena | 406-457-5016 | laidlaw.tina@epa.gov |
| Don Skaar | MFWP | 406-444-5686 | dskaar@mt.gov |
| John Kilpatrick | USGS – MT | 406-457-5902 | jmkilpat@usgus.gov |
| Dale Tribby | BLM – Miles City | 406-233-2812 | dtribby@mt.blm.gov |
| Jim Sparks | BLM – Buffalo | 307-684-1096 | james_sparks@blm.gov |
| Larry Gerard | BLM – Buffalo | 307-684-1142 | larry_gerard@blm.gov |
| Vic Riggs | MFWP – Miles City | 406-234-0915 | vriggs@mt.gov |
| Brad Schmitz | MFWP – Miles City | 406-234-7375 | brschmitz@mt.gov |
| Don Sasse | USFS – Custer NF | 406-657-6200 | dsasse@fs.fed.us |
| Marty Van Cleave | DNRC – Billings | 406-247-4415 | mvancleave@mt.gov |
| Keith Kerbel | DNRC – Billings | 406-247-4415 | kkerbel@mt.gov |
| Stacy Kinsey | USGS – Billings | 406-656-1444 | skinsey@usgs.gov |
| Peter Wright | USGS – Billings | 406-656-1444 | prwright@usgs.gov |
| Rich Moy | DNRC – Helena | 406-444-6633 | rmoy@mt.gov |
| Aida Farag | USGS | 307-733-2314 ext 11 | aida_farag@usgs.gov |
| Lou Hanebury | USFWS | 406-247-7366 | louhanebury@fws.gov |

FEBRUARY 1, 2005

The meeting started at 10:00 a.m.

- Everyone was requested to sign in and introduce themselves.
- Build off Water Quality sites
 - o Link together and follow history.
- Literature Review MSU Bob Bramlett
 - o \$30,000.00 so far towards this.
 - o \$14,000.00 initial phases of research
 - o need more funding
 - o like to meet and do field trip to visit sites in early March
 - o proposal results to the group in April
 - o maybe fund yearly instead of all at once
 - o maybe another \$120,000.00 to complete project
 - o report of literature review and research proposal by April
 - o review is everything regarding CBM all published literature

- Clearing house of literature of CBM
 - o USGS trying to find funding to get all literature together
 - Through BEST program (BLM now funding \$25,000)
 - o Ruckles House at UW to get all CBM literature

0

- Montana Legislature might provide some funding with the CBM act.
- Matching funds from Wyoming.
- MFWP
 - No focus on issue and funding yet
 - o State wildlife grant money used
 - o On 3rd year of information gathering
 - o 2003 data entered and compiled and available on NRIS
 - o 2004 currently being entered and evaluated.
 - o 4000 miles of unsurveyed streams
 - o completed 73 sites in 2003 and over 100 in 2004
 - o drought makes it difficult
 - o spring/summer info gathered
 - o water quality impacts of fish with CBM EPA contract
 - o toxicity study on fathead minnows
- Don Skaar did a PowerPoint presentation
- Location determined randomly
- 300 m stretch
- 30 m transects
- bank types
- block nets top & bottom, pull downstream
- 20 fish measured
- historic use livestock, etc.
- 404D list (hammered out)
- Fish, Wildlife, and Parks data can be obtained through NRIS
- www.nris.com
- BLM Montana
 - o Amphibian/Reptile study done & draft report prepared.
 - o USGS soils scientist
 - o 2001/2002 report cross section and substraights
 - o 2003 Confluence report

- o BLM 15 sites in the 2004 report
- o Some sites are the same as 2003
- o Confluence is writing the 2004 report on the field work

MDEQ

- o Summary information (3 pages)
- o 2 pages USGS stations
- o 1 page overall summary of who doing what
- o work done in coordination with volunteer USGS and EPA contractors for TMDLs
- Tina has the map she presented available for e-mail if you are interested
- Modeling and sediment impacts

MDNRC

- Control area
- o Montana doesn't permit
- o Get baseline from Fidelity and others that are doing the drilling

Forestry

- Small sampling in Custer National Forest
- o Beaver loss of population on small streams
- o No wells on forestry land
- Wyoming retention ponds?
- Wildlife group amphibians
- Toxicity to amphibians

• Wyoming BLM

- o Permit CBM wells (3000)
- o Not been able to monitor, mostly permitting
- o Information from WGFD
- o Behind Montana in stream baseline information
- o Water quality monitoring task group working get reports
- o BLM/DEQ approximately 20 CBM monitoring sites see map on wall

WDEO

- o All work through water task group
- o Not a lot of bio work recently
- Keep habitat sites once data collected?
- Watershed base permitting Pumpkin Creek preliminary

• Wyoming USGS

- o Water chemistry stations data on website
- o Water quality work ecology data also online
- o Finished 5 years of EMAP sampling in Wyoming last year
- o No NAWQA sampling sites left in Wyoming, still have one site in Montana

Wyoming GFD

- o Study sites above and below the tributaries
- o Site above Berger Draw
- o Gather baseline
- o 2 mile long study of reaches
- o visit 6 times per year, May October
- o continue for multiple years
- o scale back seasonal
- o 100 sites from KC to MT line
- o amphibian monitoring
- o EPA grant for 2 more years
- o Is baseline from LY adequate
- o 34 sites in other 4 drainages, visited 1 time a year
- o worked 200 m reaches

Ruckles House Institute at UW

- o Clearing house of data and research related to CBM issues
- o USGS BEST program to try to get funding for the group
- o Voluntary to put in data and links on their web page
- o Put on CBM workshop
- o Not much aquatic stuff currently more industry
- o Group information go through USGS and it will get it on website

Funding Proposals Review

- o BLM WY
 - \$200,000
 - borderless request, MT & WY
 - must be gone by year-end or returned, can be obligated
 - October 2005 must have a product
 - Funded for monitoring plan
 - Field work
- o BLM \$30.000 to MSU
 - \$16,000 literature review research
 - \$14,000 get tooled up for the review and get equipment
- o WY USGS
 - Can be reduced by \$48,000 for lab work
 - \$304,000
 - year 1 FY 05
 - \$202,000 Coop
 - \$102 USGS
 - \$198,000
 - year 2 FY 06
 - \$75,000 USGS
 - \$123,000 Coop

discussion of using Confluence to write reports – cost \$25,000 for report on 20 tested sites

- o University of Wyoming Coop
 - \$50,000 for FY 05 and FY 06 total \$100,000
 - start this summer
 - fisheries and habitat

- some baseline
- Discussion on funding
 - What goals
 - What want
 - o Bottom line
 - Hurry up and get started or slowdown
- Monitoring proposal (BLMO)
 - What do with baseline
 - o Where go from there
 - o What looking for, for output
 - o All agencies different
 - Cause and effect.
- MDEQ CBM Reclamation Act
 - o Up to \$245,000 (FY 05 \$120,000 & FY 06 \$120,000)
 - Is asked for and looks possible
 - o When start -7/1
 - o Bill #?
 - o Depends on legislature action
- Discussion on including periphyton in monitoring and baseline
- Water quality group check on what information they have
- Discussed going forward with the plan already established and if money allows add the other stuff

FEBRUARY 2, 2005

- Discussions on membership, who represents some agency
- Protocols for habitat
 - o EPA's EMAP protocols
 - o Is it necessary to measure habitat in each reach every year
 - o Can we do every 3 or 5 years
 - o No necessary to do every year unless no major changes or run-off event.
 - o 3 or 5 years is okay, maybe every other year.
 - o Need more riparian habitat information than EMAP provides
 - o Monitor changes in riparian based on CBM development
 - o Do we need longitudinal profiles (Harrelson) or pebble counts
- RBP reflected in some questions
 - o Careful going into veg monitoring
 - o Discussed photos taken for that
 - o Checklist for veg doesn't take long
- Discussion on using the protocols already established
- Habitat
 - o Set up 2-4 permanent cross sections

- ATG or USGS EMAP protocol. Harrelson is more than what ATG Montana representatives feel is necessary
- Discussion on the differences in proposals which are best is our plan best?
- Need to not make decision today, because some things need more research.
- Joe, Lenny, George, Jeremy, and Dave will meet on habitat protocol and agree by the end of February.
- Fish habitat stuff done the same as habitat stuff Brad, Dave, and Dave Peterson.
- Need better outline of methods/procedures
- Macro inverts follow NAWQA protocols
- Bob, Dave, and Larry will put in some stuff on the amphibians, herb stuff in umbrella statement.
- \$200,000 available WDEQ/USGS want \$202,000 and UW Coop wants \$50,000
- Went around the room to get input from all
 - Dave USGS but wants other sites, maybe add Coop sites and scrap or pull sections from USGS to Coop
 - o Brad thinks we need to do more work first before picking anything, premature
 - o George just wants to meet plan, no feeling either way, premature
 - o (with forestry) can contribute data neutral
 - Steve premature, request money from BLM and flush out specifics and give Montana Side chance to work on a plan
 - o Bob concur with Steve
 - o Lenny (?) Get same information no matter what protocol. USGS would lend more credence.
 - o Aida make money decision soon as it won't wait for you.
 - o Jeremy cut the \$200,000 down the middle, with WY and MT each getting half and WY will go with USGS
 - o (with forestry) make sure everything is on the same page and defensible in court
 - o Rich consistency is coordination
 - o Dave Peterson willing to work on protocols
 - o Don being consistent
 - o Tina coordinate on Montana side
 - o John Pass agree with Tina
 - o (BLM) split money and let WY go. MT needs to get coordinated
 - o Larry agree with Jeremy, but no 50/50 split. Give MT money for priority sites
 - \circ (?) do some
 - o Joe biggest bang for buck Confluence in Montana is cheaper, as long as MT gets money
 - o Bob agree with Larry, likes USGS proposal
- Montana to meet to come up with proposal